

Bond Oversight Committee Meeting Agenda

via Zoom

Wednesday, June 10, 2020

5:30 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.

Committee members present for the meeting were: Peter Marinace, Hasan Tahat, Kory Voldman, and Natalie Shirzad – Steve Ashbrooks not present.

Board Member Representative – Michael Thorner had a pre-arranged absence.

District Representative - Angela Von Essen

Guests: None

Call to Order at 5:35 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance: The pledge of allegiance was not held. It was agreed upon by the Committee to say the pledge of allegiance when guests are present.

Changes to the Agenda: None

Discussion Items:

a. Project Manager's Monthly Report - May 2020

- The May report reflected the resolution of several questions from last month's BOC meeting. However, there are a few questions that need further clarification or need to be answered.
- Rob with CBRE Heery provided answers from the April BOC meeting, which was provided to the BOC just before the meeting, not allowing ample time for the committee members to review them. They will discuss the responses and compile follow up questions.
- The BOC requested that CBRE Heery representatives communicate positively and professionally.

b. Project Updates

- FF&E – There were no updates.

c. Meeting Updates

- Project Team Meeting – Peter attended the last project team meeting. The BOC members received minutes from each meeting for their review. The committee members discussed the on-site COVID-19 testing, soil testing, safety, and if there were any concerns noted.

d. Outstanding Items

- The BOC members reviewed the District report that was created to track the Project costs.

New Business: Discussion on CBRE/Heery proposal for Long Range Committee.

Public Comments:

- 1) Several folks commented on the last school board meeting via Zoom that while during the meeting, it was fixed so we could see the board members, we still could not see the other attendees. While one can appreciate the fact that we avoided 'zoom bombers' that have been a distraction in the past, we believe for public meetings knowing who else is attending is not unreasonable. Note, not necessary to see their face, instead, to at least know who else is attending. We believe technology allows for this.
- 2) Angela lost her connection at this time, got cut off from the latter part of our BOC call, discussion item #3 below.

- 3) CBRE Proposal for Long Range Committee Facilitation. We concurred while not part of our scope, more related to how it is viewed in the context of us a community members - The gist of the discussion was about the \$55k CBRE facilitation for long term facilities planning. While this is separate from our core BOC responsibilities, the group was unanimous in terms of questioning this expense. As mentioned during the public comments time during the board meeting, struggling with a no-bid contract for facilitation consultation whereby most of the existing data to be reviewed (per bullet items noted in the letter) is already known, except for analytical work related to the track and field considerations. In all fairness, and this is where we wanted Angela's and Michael's input to assist in filling out the background. We did infer by one of the school board members' comments about 'transparency' on this process, and a follow up comment that there are probably some level of benefit due to the existing knowledge the firm has of the data. Thus, those can makes sense given the history of decision making (e.g., having an outsider help facilitate). There is likely more to the story here; thus, the request to understand more about the genesis of how this request came about and the rationale. While the board elected to go forward, the lingering questions currently leaves a negative impression in light of staff cuts, and with a no-bid contract, how do we weigh the value of such a figure, along with if there are any measurables of performance outside of facilitation dates.

Adjournment followed at 6:30 p.m.